

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/586,985	ROEINGH ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
MOHAMMAD YUSUF	3725	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) MOHAMMAD YUSUF. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) KLAUS P. STOFFEL. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 July 2010

**Time:** 2:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-8 and 10-14

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MOHAMMAD YUSUF/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3725

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed 112 issues and allowable subject matter with the applicant. Applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment clarifying the position of the "oil collection pocket" in the mounting and oblique position of the "oil collection pocket" relative to the rolling axis of the roll.